

I saw today. In spite of the fact that they will do another article now, the Political Money Line folks have admitted to making mistakes.

In any event, instead of being the No. 1 active Member of the Senate relative to utilization of corporate aircraft, according to their calculations, I would be No. 28. Under their calculations, instead of \$101,000, it should have been \$18,000. That is how egregious this situation has become.

Now what happens in the case of this sort of thing relative to what we have on the floor today? Well, here is the way I look at this, and I have talked with people all across my State about this. Are folks concerned about Members of Congress and ethics? You bet. Is there anybody in this Senate who campaigned on the fact that, You send me to Washington, you send me to the Senate, and, boy, I will get lobbyist reform? I think the answer to that question is absolutely not. That is not a typical campaign platform. Does everybody in this Senate go home and talk about what is going on in Iraq? Have any of us campaigned on what is happening in Iraq? You bet. People care about that. Are people upset about what is going on relative to the ports issue and the potential for Dubai to purchase the managerial contract for the six ports in the United States? You bet. People care about that.

People expect us, as Members of the Senate, to act in an ethical way. And those of us who have this unique problem, whether it is relative to a spouse or a child, in my opinion, must have acted in an ethical way because I don't know of any situation where what has happened as an ethical complaint has been brought forward. People do expect us to be ethical, and those of us who have this situation work very hard to make sure we are.

So I would hope since we are not going to be voting on this matter today, we may not be voting on it next week—I don't know when it will come up again—but I am very hopeful that the Members of this body will think through this and that we will look at legislation that encompasses issues such as Senator McCain has talked about on earmarks. I think if you are going to reform Congress, which is what I think is most necessary, then reforming the earmark process is necessary. Senator McCain talks about this every year during the appropriations process, and this year I think he is getting everybody's attention. That should be reformed. There are other issues in this congressional reform we ought to pay attention to. But I will have to tell you that if we are going to have irresponsible acts by folks who are taking information we disclose under the congressional reform action, whatever ultimate legislation may come out of this body, and they are going to utilize it in a wrong way, then it may be time we looked at taking some action against folks who do that as well as having the potential to take action against Members of the Senate.

Mr. President, I yield back, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. THUNE). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. ISAKSON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CHAMBLISS). Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ISAKSON. I ask unanimous consent to address the Senate as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### HONORING ROBERT MOULTRIE

Mr. ISAKSON. Mr. President, in a few weeks in my home county of Cobb County, GA, a pretty normal occurrence is going to take place for someone who is anything other than a normal person. It is going to be the 65th birthday of a man named Robert Moultrie. Now, 65th birthdays are becoming pretty common. I am pretty happy they are, because I am about to have one in a couple of years. But Robert is an extraordinary individual. I hope he is not watching C-SPAN right now because they are going to give a big surprise party for him, and if he is watching I am going to be in big trouble, but I doubt he is because he is a busy entrepreneur of unbelievable accomplishment.

He started a company in 1986 known as The Facility Group, and it was six individuals. Their revenues were about \$10 million. Last year, Robert Moultrie's company, The Facility Group, employed 300 people and their revenues were \$250 million.

He is an extraordinary individual, a graduate of Georgia Tech. He is a good engineer, as someone running a design/build firm should obviously be, but also a great benefactor to that institution, as well as Erskine College, where he led the \$30 million capital campaign a few years ago.

What makes Robert extraordinary is not just those accomplishments in business, which are great, but the fact that he and his wife are a little bit like the title of Bob and ELIZABETH DOLE's famous book, "Unlimited Partners," because they are equal partners in their journey both in business as well as community service. When Robert chaired the Cobb County Chamber of Commerce, the second largest chamber in the State in 2002, everybody thought Cheryl was kind of cochairman because she was as involved as he was. When they chaired the Heart Ball for the community, they set an all-time record in our State, raising \$600,000 in 1 night to benefit those who were fighting heart disease.

Girls Club, Boys Club, United Way, or simply a helping hand, Robert and Cheryl Moultrie have always been there. As I said, 65th birthdays are very common but Robert Moultries are not.

Our community is very fortunate to have had him there, and I am very fortunate to have the opportunity today in the Senate to commend him on his achievements for our community and commend him on this milestone in his life.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. DEMINT). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PETROLEUM INDUSTRY ANTITRUST ACT OF 2006

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, the Judiciary Committee, which I chair, has from time to time examined the implications of mergers, acquisitions, and joint ventures among companies affecting various fields in the American economy.

Just a few days ago, a major proposal reached public view in the telephone industry. There have been major acquisitions and mergers in many lines of commerce, and there is special concern at the present time about the impact of acquisitions and mergers of major oil companies on the price of gasoline, which has soared for American consumers. I have been concerned about the actions of OPEC over the years in limiting production and undertaking joint actions which really violate the spirit of competition and increase the cost of oil.

I ask unanimous consent that at the conclusion of my comments, letters that I sent to the President as far back as the Clinton administration, and that I sent to President Bush, outlining the judge-made laws which have given OPEC immunity under our antitrust laws be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See exhibit No. 1.)

#### EXHIBIT 1

U.S. SENATE,

Washington, DC, April 11, 2000.

President WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON  
*The White House,*  
*Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: In light of the very serious problems caused by the recent increase in oil prices, we know you will share our view that we should explore every possible alternative to stop OPEC and other oil-producing states from entering into agreements to restrict oil production in order to drive up the price of oil.

This conduct is nothing more than an old-fashioned conspiracy in restraint of trade which has long been condemned under U.S. law, and which should be condemned under international law.

After some considerable research, we suggest that serious consideration be given to two potential lawsuits against OPEC and the nations conspiring with it:

(1) A suit in Federal district court under U.S. antitrust law.